

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,833	04/27/2001	James J. Barry	12013/58401	8482	
26646	7590 05/29/2003				
KENYON & KENYON EXAM			INER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			STEWART, ALVIN J		
NEW TORK,	N I 10004				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003		
				10	
				10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			λ. / \		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/842,833	BARRY ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin J Stewart	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED (5/04/03) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate	ee MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (	2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			:		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  10. Other:		CORRINE McDER UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	MOTT EXAMINER		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/842,833

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations clarify the structure limitations of the claim and for the above reasons the Examiner need an additional search and consideration in order to verify the patentability of the case.